

ON ONE URYSOHN TYPE NONLINEAR INTEGRAL EQUATION  
WITH NONCOMPACT OPERATOR

Kh. A. Khachatryan\*

*Institute of Mathematics of NAS Armenia*

In the present paper the Urysohn type nonlinear integral equation with noncompact operator on the half-line is considered. It is assumed that the Wiener–Hopf–Hankel type operator is a local minorant for the initial Urysohn operator. The existence of a positive and bounded solution is proved. The limit of constructed solution at infinity is calculated. At the end of the work a list of examples is given.

**Keywords:** nonlinearity, iterative methods, Urysohn operator, Caratheodory condition.

**§ 1. Introduction and Formulation of Theorem.** In the present work the following nonlinear integral equation

$$f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} K(x,t,f(t))dt, \quad x \in R^+ = (0, +\infty), \quad (1)$$

is considered. Here  $f(x)$  is an unknown real measurable function, satisfying equation (1) almost everywhere,  $K(x,t,\tau)$  is defined on  $R^+ \times R^+ \times R$  and satisfies the following conditions: there exists a number  $\eta > 0$ , such that

a)  $K(x,t,\tau) \geq 0, \quad (x,t,\tau) \in R^+ \times R^+ \times [0,\eta] = \Omega_\eta.$

b)  $K \uparrow$  in  $\tau$  on interval  $[0,\eta]$  for each fixed  $(x,t) \in R^+ \times R^+.$

c)  $K(x,t,\tau) \in Carat(\Omega_\eta)$ , i.e. function  $K(x,t,\tau)$  satisfies the Caratheodory condition in  $\tau$  on  $\Omega_\eta$ . The latter means that for each fixed  $\tau \in [0,\eta]$  the function  $K(x,t,\tau)$  is measurable in  $(x,t) \in R^+ \times R^+$  and for almost all  $(x,t) \in R^+ \times R^+$  the function  $K(x,t,\tau)$  is continuous in  $\tau$  on the interval  $[0,\eta]$  (concerning this condition we refer to [1]).

d)  $\int_0^{\infty} K(x,t,\eta)dt \leq \eta, \quad x \in R^+.$  (2)

Let  $K_0(x)$  and  $K^*(x)$  are given measurable functions on sets  $R$  and  $R^+$  respectively, satisfying

---

\* E-mail: [Khach82@rambler.ru](mailto:Khach82@rambler.ru)

$$\bullet \quad 0 \leq K_0 \in L_1(R), K_0(-x) > K_0(x), \quad x \in R^+, \quad (3)$$

$$\bullet \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K_0(\tau) d\tau = 1, \quad \nu(K_0) \equiv \text{v.p.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tau K_0(\tau) d\tau > -\infty, \quad (4)$$

$$\bullet \quad 0 \leq K^*(x) < K_0(x), \quad x \in R^+, \quad K^*(x) \downarrow \text{ in } x \text{ on } R^+, \quad (5)$$

$$\bullet \quad m_2 = \int_0^{+\infty} x^2 K^*(x) dx < +\infty. \quad (6)$$

We assume that

$$\text{e) } K(x, t, \tau) \geq \lambda(x)(K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t))\tau, \quad (x, t, \tau) \in \Omega_\eta, \quad (7)$$

where  $\lambda(x)$  is a measurable function on  $R^+$ , and besides

$$0 \leq \lambda(x) \leq 1, \quad \lambda \uparrow \text{ in } x, \quad (1 - \lambda(x))x^j \in L_1(R^+), \quad j = 0, 1. \quad (8)$$

f) We also assume that for each measurable function  $\varphi(x)$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq \eta$ ,

$x > 0$ , the functions  $K(x, t, \varphi(t))$  and  $\int_0^\infty K(x, t, \varphi(t)) dt$  are measurable with respect to  $t > 0$  and  $x > 0$ .

*Remark 1.* It is easy to check that, if  $K(x, t, \tau)$  is continuous in the totality of all arguments on set  $\Omega_\eta$ , then the conditions c) and f) are fulfilled automatically.

It should be noted, that the equation (1) has been recently investigated by the author in [2] for the particular case  $\lambda(x) \equiv 1, K^*(x) \equiv 0$ .

In the present paper the following result is proved.

**Theorem.** Let the conditions a)–f) are fulfilled. Then the equation (1) has a nonnegative and bounded solution  $f(x) \leq \eta, x \in R^+$ , and besides

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = \eta. \quad (9)$$

Moreover, if  $\inf_{x \in R^+} \lambda(x) = \varepsilon_0 > 0$ , then  $f(x) > 0$ .

## § 2. The Proof of Theorem.

*Step I.* First let us consider the following homogeneous linear equation with sum – difference kernel

$$S(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)] S(t) dt, \quad x > 0, \quad (10)$$

with respect to an unknown function  $S(x)$ . From results of [3] it follows that the equation (10) has nontrivial (possessing both positive and negative values) and bounded solution  $\tilde{S}(x)$ . Below it will be proved that besides the solution  $\tilde{S}(x)$ , equation (10) has a positive non-decreasing and bounded solution  $S^*(x)$  with

$$\inf_{x \in R^+} S^*(x) > 0. \quad (11)$$

First we show that

$$K_0(x-t) > K^*(x+t), \quad (x, t) \in R^+ \times R^+. \quad (12)$$

Indeed, let  $x \geq t$ , then from (5) it follows that  $K_0(x-t) > K^*(x-t) \geq K^*(x+t)$ .

If we assume that  $x < t$ , then taking into account (3)–(5) we'll have

$$K_0(x-t) > K_0(t-x) > K^*(t-x) \geq K^*(x+t).$$

Thus, the inequality (12) is established. Now consider the following iteration:

$$S_{n+1}(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)] S_n(t) dt, \quad x > 0, \quad (13)$$

$$S_0(x) \equiv c = \sup_{x \in R^+} |\tilde{S}(x)|, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It is easy to check by induction that

$$i_1) S_n(x) \downarrow \text{ in } n; \quad i_2) S_n(x) \uparrow \text{ in } x; \quad i_3) S_n(x) \geq |\tilde{S}(x)|, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (14)$$

For example, let's prove  $i_3$ ): for  $n=0$  it follows from (13). Assuming that  $S_n(x) \geq |\tilde{S}(x)|$  for any  $n \in N$ , and taking into account (12) we have

$$S_{n+1}(x) \geq \int_0^{+\infty} [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)] |\tilde{S}(t)| dt \geq \left| \int_0^{+\infty} [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)] \tilde{S}(t) dt \right| = |\tilde{S}(x)|.$$

The statement  $i_1$ ) is proved in the same way. Now let us consider the statement.

The monotonicity of sequences  $\{S_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in  $x$  is easy to check, if the iteration (13) is rewritten in the following form

$$S_{n+1}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x K_0(t) S_n(x-t) dt - \int_x^{+\infty} K^*(t) S_n(t-x) dt, \quad x > 0, \quad (15)$$

$$S_0(x) \equiv c, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, the sequence of functions  $\{S_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  has a pointwise limit:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} S_n(x) = S^*(x) \leq c. \quad (16)$$

Besides that, in accordance with the B. Levis theorem [4], the limit function  $S^*(x)$  satisfies equation (10). From (14) it follows that

$$|\tilde{S}(x)| \leq S^*(x), \quad S^* \uparrow \text{ by } x, \text{ on } R^+. \quad (17)$$

Now prove formulae (11). As  $S^*(x) \geq 0$ ,  $S^*(x) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $x_0 \geq 0$  such that

$$\alpha_0 \equiv S^*(x_0) > 0. \quad (18)$$

Then taking into account (12), (17), (18), we obtain from (10)

$$\begin{aligned} S^*(x) &\geq \int_{x_0}^{+\infty} [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)] S^*(t) dt \geq \alpha_0 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{x-x_0} K_0(\tau) d\tau - \int_{x+x_0}^{\infty} K^*(\tau) d\tau \right) \geq \\ &\geq \alpha_0 \int_{x_0}^{\infty} (K_0(-t) - K^*(t)) dt > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the formulae (11) is true.

*Step II.* Now we consider the following more general linear homogenous equation:

$$\varphi(x) = \lambda(x) \int_0^{+\infty} [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)] \varphi(t) dt, \quad x > 0, \quad (19)$$

with respect to an unknown real function  $\varphi(x)$ .

Now along with equation (19) we consider the following non-homogenous integral equation

$$\psi(x) = (1 - \lambda(x))S^*(x) + \lambda(x) \int_0^{+\infty} [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)]\psi(t)dt, \quad x \in R^+. \quad (20)$$

As it follows from [5], equation (20) has a nonnegative nontrivial solution  $\psi_0(x) \in L_1(R^+) \cap M(R^+)$  and besides  $\psi_0(x) \leq S^*(x)$ . It can be easily shown that the function  $\psi_1(x) \equiv S^*(x)$  also satisfies the equation (20). Note that  $\psi_0(x) \neq \psi_1(x)$  as  $\psi_0(x) \in L_1(R^+) \cap M(R^+)$  and  $\inf_{x \in R^+} \psi_1 > 0$ .

It is obvious that the function  $\varphi(x) = \psi_1(x) - \psi_0(x) \geq 0$  ( $\neq 0$ ) will satisfy the equation (19).

It is noteworthy that such an approach to the solution of equation (19) in case when  $K^*(x) \equiv 0$  was suggested in [5].

Now we consider the following iteration:

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x) = \lambda(x) \int_0^{+\infty} [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)]\varphi_n(t)dt, \quad (21)$$

$$\varphi_0(x) \equiv \sup_{x \in R^+} \varphi(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad x > 0.$$

By the analogy of Step I the following facts can be established by induction:  
 $j_1) \varphi_n(x) \downarrow$  in  $n$ ;  $j_2) \varphi_n(x) \uparrow$  in  $x$ ;  $j_3) \varphi_n(x) \geq \varphi(x)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  (22)

Therefore, there exists the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_n(x) = \varphi^*(x) \leq \sup_{x \in R^+} \varphi(x), \quad (23)$$

and in addition  $\varphi^*(x)$  satisfies the equation (19) and  $\varphi^*(x) \uparrow$  in  $x$ . By analogy with formulae (11), it can be shown, that if  $\inf_{x \in R^+} \lambda(x) > 0$ , then

$$\beta_0 = \inf_{x \in R^+} \varphi^*(x) > 0. \quad (24)$$

*Step III.* At this last stage a nontrivial solution of basic equation (1) will be constructed using formulae (24) and monotonicity of function  $\varphi^*(x)$ .

Let us consider the following iteration

$$f_{n+1}(x) = \int_0^{\infty} K(x,t, f_n(t))dt, \quad f_0(x) \equiv \eta, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad x \in R^+. \quad (25)$$

From condition f) it follows that each function  $f_n(x)$  is measurable.

Below we prove that

$$p_1) f_n(x) \downarrow \text{ in } n; \quad p_2) f_n(x) \geq \frac{\eta}{\sup_{x \in R^+} \varphi^*(x)} \varphi^*(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad x \in R^+.$$

First let us prove  $p_1)$ : in the light of the properties a) and b) we have

$$f_i(x) = \int_0^{\infty} K(x,t,\eta)dt \leq \eta = f_0(x).$$

Assuming that  $f_n(x) \leq f_{n-1}(x)$  and taking into account (25) we obtain

$$f_{n+1}(x) \leq f_n(x).$$

Now we prove the inequality  $p_2$ ): for  $n = 0$  it is obvious. Assume that  $p_2$ ) is true for  $n = m \in N$  and prove it in case of  $n = m + 1$ . In consequence of inequality (7) we obtain

$$f_{m+1}(x) \geq \int_0^\infty K(x,t) \frac{\eta}{\sup_{x \in R^+} \varphi^*(t)} \varphi^*(t) dt \geq \frac{\eta \lambda(x)}{\sup_{x \in R^+} \varphi^*} \int_0^\infty (K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)) \varphi^*(t) dt = \frac{\eta \varphi^*(x)}{\sup_{x \in R^+} \varphi^*}.$$

Using on  $p_1$ ),  $p_2$ ) we conclude that the sequence of functions  $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  has a limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(x) = f(x), \quad x \in R^+, \quad (26)$$

satisfying

$$\frac{\eta \varphi^*(x)}{\sup_{x \in R^+} \varphi^*} \leq f(x) \leq \eta, \quad x \in R^+. \quad (27)$$

As  $f_n \downarrow$  by  $n$ ,  $K \in \text{Carat}(\Omega_\eta)$  and  $f(x) \leq \int_0^\infty K(x,t, f_n(t)) dt$ , then from B.

Levis theorem we get that limit function  $f(x)$  satisfies the equation (1).

If  $\varepsilon_0 = \inf_{x \in R^+} \lambda(x) > 0$ , then from (27) immediately follows that  $\psi(x) > 0$ . As  $\varphi^*(x) \uparrow \sup_{x \in R^+} \varphi^*(x)$ , then from (27) we also obtain  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = \eta$ .

Thus, the Theorem is proved.

§ 3. Below we give some particular examples of equation (1):

- $f(x) = \lambda(x) \int_0^{+\infty} [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)] G(f(t)) dt, \quad x > 0, \quad (28)$

where

- $G \in C[0, \eta], \quad G(x) \geq x, \quad x \in [0, \eta], \quad G \uparrow$  by  $x$  on  $[0, \eta], \quad G(\eta) = \eta. \quad (29)$

- $f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} R(x, f(t)) [K_0(x-t) - K^*(x+t)] G(f(t)) dt, \quad x > 0, \quad (30)$

where  $R(x, t)$  is a measurable function defined on  $R^+ \times R^+$  with

- 1)  $R(x, t) \in \text{Carat}(R^+ \times [0, \eta])$ ,
- 2)  $R(x, t) \uparrow$  in  $t$  on  $[0, \eta]$  for each fixed  $x \in R^+$ ,
- 3)  $\lambda(x) \leq R(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{\int_{-\infty}^x K_0(\tau) d\tau - \int_x^\infty K^*(\tau) d\tau}, \quad (x, t) \in R^+ \times [0, \eta]$ .

As particular examples of such  $G$  and  $R$  we can take the following functions:

- I.  $G(x) = x^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad \eta = 1, \quad x \in R^+.$
- II.  $G(x) = x + \sin x, \quad \eta = 1, \quad x \in R^+.$

$$\text{III. } G(x) = \sqrt{xe^{x-1}}, \quad \eta = 1, x \in R^+.$$

$$R(x, t) = \frac{F(x) - \lambda(x)}{2} u(t) + \frac{F(x) + \lambda(x)}{2},$$

where  $F(x) = \left( \int_{-\infty}^x K_0(t) dt - \int_x^{\infty} K^*(t) dt \right)^{-1}$ , and  $u \in C[0, \eta]$ ,  $0 \leq u(t) \leq 1$ ,  $t \in [0, \eta]$ ,  $u \uparrow$  in  $t$  on  $[0, \eta]$ .

*Remark 2.* We note that it can be proved that the solution of equation (28) is increasing.

I thank the referee for useful remarks.

Received 16.04.2010

#### REFERENCES

1. **Kufner A. and Fuchik S.** Nonlinear Differential Equations. M.: Nauka, 1988, 304 p.
2. **Khachatryan Kh.A.** Doklady Rossiyskoy Akademii Nauk. Matematika, 2009, v. 425, № 2, p. 462–465 (in Russian).
3. **Yengibaryan B.N.** Izv. NAN Armenii, Matematika, 1997, v. 32, № 1, p. 38–48 (in Russian).
4. **Kolmogorov A.N. and Fomin V.S.** Elements of the Theory of Functions and Functional Analysis. M.: Nauka, 1981, 544 p.
5. **Arabadjyan L.G.** Differential Equations, 1987, v. 23, № 9, p. 1618–1622.

Խ. Ա. Խաչատրյան

Ոչ կոմպակտ օպերատորով Ուրիսոնի տիպի մի  
ոչ գծային ինտեգրալ հավասարման մասին

Աշխատանքում հետազոտվում է ոչ կոմպակտ օպերատորով Ուրիսոնի տիպի ոչ գծային ինտեգրալ հավասարում կիսառանցքի վրա: Ենթադրվում է, որ Վիներ–Շոպֆ–Հանկելի օպերատորը ծառայում է որպես լոկալ մինորանտ Ուրիսոնի սկզբնական օպերատորի համար: Ապացուցվել է դրական և սահմանափակ լուծման գոյությունը: Գտնվել է կառուցված լուծման սահմանն անվերջությունում: Աշխատանքի վերջում բերվել են օրինակներ:

Х. А. Хачатрян.

Об одном нелинейном интегральном уравнении  
типа Урысона с некомпактным оператором

В работе исследуется нелинейное интегральное уравнение типа Урысона с некомпактным оператором на полуоси. Предполагается, что оператор Винера–Хопфа–Ганкеля служит локальной минорантой для исходного оператора Урысона. Доказывается существование положительного и ограниченного решения. Вычисляется предел построенного решения в бесконечности. В конце работы приведены примеры.